

\$2.00 range. I would hope we get some information on that. I think that we should leave the bill the way it is. It's written about right and the outstate people can surely stand to pay the \$2.00 and I'm sure our own people, a \$1.50. I would really have favored a little higher rate for the whole bunch, myself. I think that this is a good start and it will probably help maintain our parks. There's one thing about this fund that is a little different than this pop tax we talked about for state parks for another purpose. When they do collect this money, they do have to put more people on payroll to manage this thing and to collect this fee and to see that it's collected. We have this in my own area so I watched this work and they do have to put on some extra people when they're going to collect this fee. It isn't quite as lucrative as you might think; it's going to take almost \$1.00 of it to collect it.

PRESIDENT: Senator Keyes.

SENATOR KEYES: Just a very short statement. I suggest that we kill both of these amendments, the amendment to the bill and the amendment to the amendment. I've been in many camps; KOA camps and other camps cost anywhere in the vicinity from \$4.00 to \$7.00, just depending on whether you want to hook up to use the shower or whether you want to use the electricity or whether you want to use the sewer system and all of that. To come in and say that the states should not charge more than \$1.00 seems kind of ridiculous to me. The last thing that I look at when I go in a KOA camp is, or in a state camping ground, is what they're going to charge me. The first thing I look at is to see how nice it is and if it looks nice, I'll set that unit of mine down there. If it doesn't look nice, I drive on down the road. So the fee is not the thing that the people look at.

PRESIDENT: Would you close on your amendment Senator Simon?

SENATOR SIMON: Yes, I will. I'll be honest with this body. I have no tremendous pride of authorship about this amendment and the \$1.50 versus \$1.00 is no big deal. The thing that I was concerned about was yesterday I saw the possibility of Senator Koch's amendment being passed which would decrease the tremendous amount of revenue in terms of especially the loss of revenue for outstate people and I was diametrically opposed to that. I would like to relate to this body some figures which I do have from the Nebraska Game and Parks Commission to show where the loss of revenue would be. For the non-residents, it would drop \$7,748 which would be a loss of \$13,560 if we were to go from \$2.00 to \$1.00. You can also take into significant accounts and other things for example, if a family went 8 times to the park during a year, the permit costs \$12.50. Now under Senator Koch's amendment, they would pay \$1.00 each time, therefore they would not buy the annual permit. Under my amendment or under the original bill, it would cost them \$16.00, so they would buy the regular permit. I think the main thing we have to make sure, whether you vote against my amendment or not is that we at least get \$2.00 charged for the non-residents.

PRESIDENT: The question is the adoption of Senator Simon's amendment to the amendment. Record your vote. Have you voted? Record.

CLERK: 7 ayes, 17 nays.